UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/567,598 | 02/20/2008 | Jozsef Barkoczy | 23522 | 8616 |
| 535 KF ROSS PC | 7590 10/21/201 | 0 | EXAMINER | |
| 5683 RIVERDA | | COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY | | |
| SUITE 203 BOX 900 BRONX, NY 10471-0900 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1624 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/21/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

EMAIL@KFRPC.COM ereyes@kfrpc.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/567,598 | BARKOCZY ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Brenda L. Coleman | 1624 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | -· action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| • | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Lx parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 455 C.C. 215. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-26</u> is/are pending in the application | Claim(s) <u>15-26</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-19,21-24 and 26</u> is/are rejected. | □ Claim(s) <u>15-19,21-24 and 26</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are objected to. | • • • | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| <i>,</i> — <i>,</i> — ,— | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| A44-21 | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) 🔛 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of Informal P | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/5/2009</u> . 6) U Other: | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 15-26 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In evaluating the enablement question, several factors are to be considered. In re Wands, 8 USPQZd 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988); Ex parte Forman, 230 USPQ 546. The factors include: 1) The nature of the invention, 2) the state of the prior art, 3) the predictability or lack thereof in the art, 4) the amount of direction or guidance present, 5) the presence or absence of working examples, 6) the breadth of the claims, and 7) the quantity of experimentation needed.

The nature of the invention in the instant case has claims, which embrace substituted 2,3-benzodiazepines.

HOW TO USE: Claims 22 and 23 are a composition and method of use of the compounds of formula I where the method is a method of treating a patient suffering from central nervous system disorders, which is responsive to AMPA. Any evidence presented must be commensurate in scope with the claims and must clearly

Application/Control Number: 10/567,598

Art Unit: 1624

demonstrate the effectiveness of the claimed compounds. However, the specification provides no definitive evidence to correlate any one disorder selected from those disclosed in the specification with the instantly disclosed 2,3-benzodiazepine compounds. No screening protocols are ever described. Thus, no evidence of in vitro effectiveness is seen in the specification for one of the instantly claimed 2,3-benzodiazepine compounds. In general, pharmacological activity is a very unpredictable area. In cases involving physiological activity "the scope of the enablement obviously varies inversely with the degree of unpredictability of the factors involved." In re Fisher, 427 F.2d 833, 166 USPQ 18 (CCPA 1970). Since this case involves unpredictable in-vivo physiological activities, the scope of the enablement given in the disclosure presented here was found to be low. The specification does not have working examples on the use of the substituted 2,3-benzodiazepines. The absence of working examples is one of the factors to be considered in deciding whether the practice of an invention would involve undue experimentation.

Page 3

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 15-19, 21-24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following reasons apply:
 - a. Claims 15, 18, 21, 24, 26 and claims dependent thereon are vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by "formula-NH-R¹".

Application/Control Number: 10/567,598 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

b. Claims 15, 24, 26 and claims dependent thereon are vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the parenthesis that appears at the end of the definition of R¹ where there is no open parenthesis.

- c. Claim 18 is vague and indefinite in that it is dependent on a canceled claim.
- d. Claim 26 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by
 R1 which is not defined within the claim.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 20 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 25 is allowed. None of the prior art of record or a search in the pertinent art area teaches the compounds of formula (VIII) as claimed herein.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda L. Coleman whose telephone number is 571-272-0665. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,598 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brenda L. Coleman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624